FILED
SUPREME COURT
STATE OF WASHINGTON
1/5/2021 11:40 AM
BY SUSAN L. CARLSON
CLERK

S. Ct. No. 99391-2 COA No. 36817-3-III

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON STATE OF WASHINGTON, Respondent, v. ELIZABETH L. TURNER-MURPHY, Petitioner. PETITION FOR REVIEW

Kenneth H. Kato, WSBA # 6400 Attorney for Petitioner 1020 N. Washington St. Spokane, WA 99201 (509) 220-2237

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A. IDENTITY OF PETITIONER
B. COURT OF APPEALS DECISION
C. ISSUE PRESENTED FOR REVIEW
Was the State's evidence insufficient to support the conviction for vehicular homicide beyond a reasonable doubt?
D. STATEMENT OF THE CASE1
E. ARGUMENT WHY REVIEW SHOULD BE ACCEPTED11
F. CONCLUSION15
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
Table of Cases
State v. Drum, 168 Wn.2d 23, 225 P.3d 237 (2010)11, 15
State v. Green, 94 Wn.2d 216, 616 P.2d 628 (1980)15
State v. Hickman, 135 Wn.2d 97, 954 P.2d 900 (1998)13, 14
State v. Hutton, 7 Wn. App. 726, 502 P.2d 1037 (1972)12, 15
State v. Imokawa, 194 Wn.2d 391, 450 P.3d 159 (2019)14
State v. Souther, 100 Wn. App. 701, 998 P.2d 350 (2000)15
Rules
RAP 13.4(b)(1)11, 14
RAP 13.4(b)(2)11, 15

Other Authorities

11A WPIC	90.08	 	 .14

A. IDENTITY OF PETITIONER

Elizabeth L. Turner-Murphy asks this Court to accept review of the Court of Appeals decision terminating review designated in Part B.

B. COURT OF APPEALS DECISION

Ms. Turner-Murphy seeks review of the decision of the Court of Appeals, Division III, filed on December 10, 2020, affirming her conviction. A copy of the opinion is in the Appendix.

C. ISSUE PRESENTED FOR REVIEW

Was the State's evidence insufficient to support the conviction for vehicular homicide beyond a reasonable doubt?

D. STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Ms. Turner-Murphy was charged by amended information with one count of vehicular homicide, committed as follows:

That the defendant, ELIZABETH L. TURNER-MURPHY, in the State of Washington, on or about October 26, 2017, did drive or operate a motor vehicle and have within two hours of driving, an alcohol concentration of 0.08 or higher as shown by analysis of the person's breath or blood and/or did operate the vehicle while under the influence of or affected by intoxicating liquor or any drug, and/or did drive or operate a motor vehicle in a reckless manner, and did thereby proximately cause injury to

VALARIE M. DALY, a person who died within

three years on or about October 26, 2017 as a proximate result of said injury. (CP 193).

The case proceeded to jury trial.

On October 25, 2017, the night before the accident, Ms. Turner-Murphy spent a typical Wednesday after work at home with her children. (3/26/19 RP 668). Her partner had obtained a more permanent job in Idaho as a journeyman electrician so they had drinks to celebrate. (*Id.*). She had two seltzer water, ice, and vodkas in fairly good-sized cups. (*Id.* at 668-69). Ms. Turner-Murphy was not feeling well and was tired so she went to bed at 10 p.m. (*Id.* at 669). Her alarm went off at 4 a.m. for work, but she was still not feeling well and called to say she was not coming in to work. She went back to sleep and did not wake up until 8 a.m. (*Id.*). Although not feeling too great, Ms. Turner-Murphy had an errand to run so she got in her Expedition and left. (*Id.* at 670).

She got on Grace Avenue, east of Pines Road in Spokane Valley. (3/26/19 RP 670). There was a lineup of about 3-4 cars at the stop sign on Grace and it took her 5-7 minutes to get to the sign. (*Id.*). She looked left and right and repeated the process again. It looked like a clear enough path to get across Pines so she

went. (*Id.* at 670-71). Next thing she knew, there was a crash. (*Id.* at 671). Ms. Turner-Murphy realized something bad had happened and finished crossing Pines before stopping. (*Id.*). Shaking, she got out and looked for her phone, but could not find it. After seeing someone else with a phone who could call for help and another who was helping the person on the ground, she got back in the SUV and waited. (*Id.*). She was approached by Trooper Barry Marcus and the next person to come over was a paramedic. (*Id.* at 672). Ms. Turner-Murphy never saw the motorcycle that hit the right side of her SUV. (*Id.* at 673).

Dianna Daly was the mother of Valarie Daly, the motorcycle driver who died after running into the side of Ms. Turner-Murphy's SUV around 8:56 a.m. on October 26, 2017. (3/20/19 RP 239-40). She said her daughter worked at Sedgwick, 1121 N. Argonne, where she would normally take Trent to Argonne and then to work. It was less than three miles from Valarie Daly's home. (*Id.* at 240). But on this day, she went on Pines. (*Id.*at 243).

Maura Gopar had dropped her child off at Trent Elementary around 8:40 a.m. on October 26, 2017. (3/26/19 RP 248). Going on Pines Road to I-90, she was in the inside lane closest to the sidewalk. (*Id.* at 249-50). The speed limit was 35 mph and she

was almost at that speed after the 25 mph school zone. (*Id.* at 251). Ms. Gopar saw a motorcycle, going about 20-25 mph, at E. Trent and Pines. (*Id.* at 252-53). The motorcycle was in the inside lane. (*Id.* at 253). The motorcycle passed her on Pines. (*Id.* at 261). She saw Ms. Turner-Murphy suddenly come out from Grace. Ms. Gopar saw the SUV about 1-2 seconds before the impact where the motorcycle hit the side of the vehicle. (*Id.* at 253-55). The rider, Ms. Daly, fell to the ground. Ms. Turner-Murphy got out, looked around at the damage, and got back into her SUV. (*Id.* at 255-56). The Expedition was stopped about 100 feet from where the accident happened. (*Id.* at 256). The motorcycle was on the sidewalk at Grace and Pines. (*Id.* at 257). Ms. Gopar got out of her car and checked on Ms. Daly, who was unconscious. (*Id.*). People were trying to help the rider. (*Id.* at 258).

Michael Deafled, a shuttle driver for the Black Pearl Casino on Pines, ferried employees to and from a parking lot on Grace and Pines. (3/20/19 RP 275-77). He was driving with Lynette Williams, a Black Pearl employee, on October 26, 2017. (*Id.* at 278-79). It was sunny and the road dry. (*Id.* at 279). He was coming out of the lot onto Grace when Ms. Turner-Murphy's SUV went around him. (*Id.*). Mr. Deafled saw a car coming down Pines and, once

the car passed, the SUV did a California roll through the stop sign after the driver looked to the left. He said the driver did not look right. (*Id.* at 279-80). The SUV zoomed out and tried to cross four lanes of traffic on Pines. (*Id.* at 281). The motorcycle was in the inside lane of Pines. (*Id.* at 282). After impact, the SUV's driver got out, got on her phone, and looked at the damage to her vehicle. (*Id.*). He said the cycle had no time to react. (*Id.* at 263). He did not see the SUV driver slam on the brakes. (*Id.*).

Ms. Williams, the shuttle passenger, said they were behind Ms. Turner-Murphy on Grace when she did a California roll and went across Pines. (3/20/19 RP 287-91). Traffic was average and not overly heavy. (*Id.* at 291). She said the motorcycle was in the lane on Pines closest to the sidewalk going south. (*Id.* at 292). Ms. Williams said the point of impact was where the motorcycle was. (*Id.*). She saw the crash. (*Id.* at 293). The SUV came to an immediate stop. (*Id.*). The victim was moved to do CPR. (*Id.* at 294). Ms. Williams was on the phone with 911 as she went right to the victim and the motorcycle. (*Id.*). She relayed instructions received over her phone to the persons helping Ms. Daly. (*Id.* at 295). A man was giving her CPR. (*Id.* at 296). Ms. Williams was very upset. (*Id.* at 297).

Dr. John Howard, Spokane County medical examiner, performed an autopsy on Ms. Daly on October 26, 2017. (3/20/19 RP 303-05). She suffered multiple blunt force injuries, multiple internal injuries, and a tear of the brainstem, which is uniformly fatal. (*Id.* at 307-08, 316). Dr. Howard opined the accident caused Ms. Daly's death. (*Id.* at 317).

On October 26, 2017, Mary Hayward was taking her kids to school. (3/20/19 RP 331). She was headed west on Grace and was going to go north on Pines, but went straight after seeing the accident. (*Id.* at 333). She had been behind the SUV that pulled out from Grace across Pines. (*Id.*). The SUV's driver had come to a full stop on Grace, then hit the gas. (*Id.* at 334, 341). The SUV was almost all the way across Pines when the cycle came up and hit the vehicle. (*Id.* at 335). Ms. Hayward did not see the motorcycle going up Pines. (*Id.* at 341). She said the motorcycle had no time to brake or swerve. (*Id.*). At impact, Ms. Daly flew up and hit the ground hard. (*Id.* at 336).

Ms. Hayward went right behind the SUV, pulled off to the side, and got out. (*Id.*). She was upset and emotional. (*Id.* at 337). She contacted the SUV driver, Ms. Turner-Murphy, and told

her to stay and not go anywhere. (*Id.* at 339). She called 911 as Ms. Daly did not look good and appeared to be deceased. (*Id.*).

Robert Barber was on Pines going toward I-90 on October 26, 2017. (3/20/19 RP 354). He was a passenger in the vehicle driven by his girlfriend in the lane closest to the sidewalk. (*Id.* at 355). A motorcycle was in the same lane going at an appropriate speed. (*Id.*). As they got closer to the intersection of Pines and Grace, he saw a green SUV do a California stop and keep going. (*Id.* at 356). Mr. Barber saw the cycle hit the side of the SUV, with no chance to hit the brakes. (*Id.*). There were two cars between the motorcycle and his vehicle. (*Id.* at 357). He did not see any brake lights come on from the motorcycle. (*Id.* at 359). Ms. Daly flew off and was unresponsive. (*Id.*). Mr. Barber saw the SUV driver look both ways before proceeding across Pines. (*Id.*).

Trooper Marcus was on duty on October 26, 2017, and responded to the accident. (3/21/19 RP 382-83). The collision call came in at 8:56 a.m. and CPR was in progress on Ms. Daly when he arrived. (*Id.* at 384). Ms. Turner-Murphy's SUV was facing west on Grace and the motorcycle was closer to Pines but still on Grace. (*Id.* at 384-85). The SUV was a Ford Expedition with damage on the right side passenger door area. (*Id.* at 385).

Trooper Marcus thought Ms. Hayward was the driver as she was extremely distraught. (*Id.* at 388-89). She was not the driver so he went to talk to Ms. Turner-Murphy, who was sitting in the driver's seat of the SUV with a resigned or unemotional look on her face. (*Id.* at 389-90).

Ms. Turner-Murphy told him she was going east to west on Grace to cross Pines and then continuing west on Grace. (3/21/19 RP 391). She did not see the motorcycle. (*Id.*). Traffic on Pines had the right of way. (*Id.* at 392). The motorcycle hit the side of the Expedition. (*Id.*). Ms. Turner-Murphy told the trooper she had no alcohol that morning. (*Id.* at 405). She agreed to do field sobriety tests. (*Id.* at 406-20). Trooper Marcus testified Ms. Turner-Murphy had nystagmus in both eyes. (*Id.* at 419-20). The trooper determined she had consumed alcohol and could smell alcohol on her breath. (*Id.* at 420). In the walk-and-turn test, he said she had indicators that could be showing impairment. (*Id.* at 420-25). In the one-leg stand test, her performance was not good, indicating impairment from alcohol. (*Id.* at 428).

From the totality of the circumstances, Trooper Marcus determined Ms. Turner-Murphy could not safely operate a motor vehicle. (3/21/19 RP 428). He placed her under arrest for

DUI/vehicular assault. (*Id.* at 429). Ms. Turner-Murphy sat in his patrol car and was taken to Valley Hospital. (*Id.* at 430). The trooper got a warrant for a blood draw, which was done. (*Id.* at 438). Trooper Marcus acknowledged she could have been in shock. (*Id.* at 445). He said the field sobriety tests were just clues or indicators. (*Id.* at 446-47).

Trooper James Wickham was on duty the morning of October 26, 2017, and responded to the accident. (3/21/19 RP 477). He was a collision technical specialist and arrived around 9:15 a.m. (*Id.* at 477-78). The accident occurred in lane 1, closest to the sidewalk. (*Id.* at 479). His report, however, said the victim was in lane 2, the inside lane. (*Id.* at 497). The motorcycle hit the SUV door and pushed Ms. Daly over the handlebars, hitting the passenger side door and breaking the window with her helmet and head. (*Id.* at 484). Trooper Wickham determined Ms. Turner-Murphy failed to yield the right-of-way to traffic on Pines. (*Id.* at 493). He said the average reaction time was 1.5 seconds. (*Id.* at 499). But if you do not see something, there is no reaction time. (*Id.* at 508). The trooper indicated there was no car in front of the motorcycle so Ms. Daly had a clear view. (*Id.* at 509).

David Nguyen, a forensic scientist with the state toxicologist, had a blood alcohol permit, enabling him to test for alcohol in DUI cases. (3/25/19 RP 543-46). He performed an analysis of the blood vials from Ms. Turner-Murphy and his toxicology test report concluded she had .15 blood alcohol. (*Id.* at 562). He said at .08, it is not safe to operate a motor vehicle. (*Id.* at 565).

Detective Ryan Spangler of the Washington State Patrol was certified in collision reconstruction. (3/25/19 RP 602). He was called to the accident on Grace and Pines on October 26, 2017, about 9:40 a.m. (*Id.* at 606). On inspecting the scene, he concluded no one tried to stop. (*Id.* at 626). There was also no indication of mechanical failure of the SUV or the motorcycle. (*Id.* at 635-36). He was unable to give an estimate of the speed of the vehicles. (*Id.* at 637). But Detective Spangler testified Ms. Daly had enough time to react and stop. (*Id.* at 645).

There were no exceptions to the jury instructions given by the court. (3/25/19 RP 662-63). The jury found Ms. Turner-Murphy guilty of vehicular homicide under the DUI prong. (3/26/19 RP 784-85; CP 263). The court imposed a standard range sentence of 96 months with a 24-month enhancement for a prior conviction of first degree negligent driving reduced from DUI, resulting in total

confinement of 120 months. (CP 304-16). She appealed. (CP 325). Ms. Turner-Murphy's conviction for vehicular homicide was affirmed by the Court of Appeals.

E. ARGUMENT WHY REVIEW SHOULD BE ACCEPTED

Review should be accepted because the Court of Appeals' decision conflicts with decisions of the Supreme Court and Court of Appeals. RAP 13.4(b)(1), (2).

The opinion states "[n]umerous witnesses testified that Daly lacked any time to stop." (Op. at 11). The irony, however, is that the testimony supporting Ms. Turner-Murphy's defense at trial came from a State's witness, WSP Detective Ryan Spangler, an accident reconstruction specialist. He concluded no one tried to stop, but also testified Ms. Daly did have enough time to react and stop. (3/25/19 RP 626, 645).

In a challenge to the sufficiency of the evidence, the test is whether, viewing it in a light most favorable to the State, any rational trier of fact could find the essential elements of the crime beyond a reasonable doubt. *State v. Green*, 94 Wn.2d 216, 220-21, 616 P.2d 628 (1980). A claim of insufficient evidence admits the truth of the State's evidence and all reasonable inferences from it. *State v. Drum*, 168 Wn.2d 23,

35, 225 P.3d 237 (2010). Although credibility issues are for the finder of fact to decide, the existence of facts cannot be based on guess, speculation, or conjecture. *State v. Hutton*, 7 Wn. App. 726, 728, 502 P.2d 1037 (1972).

Here, the evidence supporting Ms. Turner-Murphy's defense that Ms. Daly's actions were an intervening and superseding cause of her death came not from the defense, but from the State itself. Viewed in a light most favorable to the State, its evidence establishes the defense. The opinion attempts to avoid this harsh result by speculating that "[t]he evidence showed that she never observed the SUV." No evidence spoke to that issue and this "fact" can only be gleaned from guess, speculation, or conjecture. This is forbidden for the jury to do. *Hutton*, *supra*. The reviewing court is also not a finder of fact and it improperly acted in that capacity here.

When going across Pines, Ms. Turner-Murphy could not have reasonably anticipated Ms. Daly's failure to react and stop her motorcycle to avoid the collision. Foreseeability is not a consideration. (Instruction 11, CP 242). Ms. Daly did not react to an avoidable situation and her inaction superseded Ms. Turner-Murphy's original act. In this SUV v. motorcycle situation, she

could not have reasonably anticipated it was likely the motorcycle driver would not have taken any defensive action.

The instruction that foreseeability is not a consideration was the law of the case. *State v. Hickman*, 135 Wn.2d 97, 101-02, 954 P.2d 900 (1998). Yet, the opinion affirming the conviction based its decision on foreseeability. The question is whether foreseeability is a proper consideration when Instruction 11 instructed the jury it was not:

If you are satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that the driving of the defendant was a proximate cause of the death, it is not a defense that the driving of another may also have been a proximate cause of the death.

However, if a proximate cause of the death was a new, independent intervening act of another which the defendant, in the exercise of ordinary care, should not reasonably anticipate as likely to happen, the defendant's act is superseded by the intervening cause and is not a proximate cause of the death. An intervening cause is an action that actively operates to produce harm to another after the defendant's act has been committed or begun.

However, if in the exercise of ordinary care, the defendant should reasonably have anticipated the intervening cause, that cause does not supersede the defendant's original act and the defendant's act is a proximate cause. It is not necessary that the sequence of events or the particular injury be foreseeable. It is only necessary that the death fall within the general field of danger which the

defendant should have reasonably anticipated.

The State has the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt both (1) that the defendant's conduct was a proximate cause of the death, and (2) that the conduct of another did not constitute a superseding cause of death that occurred in this case. (CP 242).

The opinion erred by relying and focusing on foreseeability. (Op. at 12-16). Its decision is contrary to *State v. Imokawa*, 194 Wn.2d 391, 398, 450 P.3d 159 (2019), approving the instruction based on 11A WPIC 90.08 that stated it was not necessary the sequence of events be foreseeable. The decision also conflicts with *Hickman* regarding the law of the case. Thus, review should be accepted under RAP 13.4(b)(1).

Moreover, the opinion stated "[t]he conduct of Turner-Murphy elapsed concurrently with the conduct of Daly to produce the collision of the two vehicles and the death of Daly." (Op. at 14). To the contrary, Ms. Turner-Murphy's conduct in merging into traffic had "elapsed" when the accident happened. As stated in instruction 11, her conduct had been committed or begun and Ms. Daly's action actively operated to produce the harm to her. (CP 242). Ms. Turner-Murphy's wrongful conduct occurred before the collision, unlike *State v. Souther*, 100 Wn. App. 701, 710, 998 P.2d

350 (2000), which was relied on by the Court of Appeals.

Accordingly, her conduct and Ms. Daly's conduct were not concurring causes. The court's opinion conflicts with *Souther*.

Review is warranted under RAP 13.4(b)(2).

The State's evidence is taken as true in challenges to the sufficiency of the evidence. *Drum*, *supra*. The State itself showed Ms. Daly had time to react and stop. She did not. The evidence fell short of proving beyond a reasonable doubt, as was the State's burden, that Ms. Turner-Murphy was the proximate cause of the death of Ms. Daly, whose failure to act was a superseding intervening cause. *State v. Green*, 94 Wn.2d 216, 220-21, 616 P.2d 628 (1980). The jury then had to guess and speculate Ms. Turner-Murphy was the proximate cause of the accident. That is insufficient evidence and the verdict cannot stand. Review is appropriate as the opinion conflicts with *Hutton*. RAP 13.4(b)(2).

F. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing facts and authorities, Ms.Turner-Murphy respectfully urges this Court to grant her petition for review, reverse the conviction, and dismiss the charge.

DATED this 5th day of January, 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

Kennik H. K

Kenneth H. Kato, WSBA # 6400 Attorney for Petitioner 1020 N. Washington St. Spokane, WA 99201 (509) 220-2237

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on January 5, 2021, I served a copy of the petition for review by USPS on Elizabeth Turner-Murphy, # 415779, 9601 Bujacich Rd. NW, Gig Harbor, WA 98332-8300; and through the eFiling portal on Larry Steinmetz at his email address.



FILED DECEMBER 10, 2020 In the Office of the Clerk of Court WA State Court of Appeals Division III

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON DIVISION THREE

STATE OF WASHINGTON,)	
)	No. 36817-3-III
Respondent,)	
)	
v.)	UNPUBLISHED OPINION
)	
ELIZABETH L. TURNER-MURPHY,)	
)	
Appellant.)	

FEARING, J. — When a driver impaired by alcohol ignores a stop sign, drives through the controlled intersection, and strikes a motorcycle thereby killing the motorcyclist, is the failure of the motorcyclist to see and react to the car a sufficient intervening cause to preclude a finding that the driver's conduct was the proximate cause of the death of the motorcyclist and to shield the driver from a charge of vehicular homicide? Because the impaired driver should have foreseen the motorcyclist entering the intersection and because the unlawful conduct of the impaired driver did not end until the collision, we answer in the negative. We affirm the conviction of appellant Elizabeth Turner-Murphy for vehicular homicide.

FACTS

Because Elizabeth Turner-Murphy challenges the sufficiency of evidence to

convict her of vehicular homicide, we glean the facts from trial testimony. On October 26, 2017, a Ford Expedition driven by Turner-Murphy collided with a motorcycle ridden by Valarie Daly inside the intersection of Grace Avenue and Pines Road in Spokane Valley. Daly died from injuries sustained in the collision. Numerous witnesses, including Maura Gopar Santos, Michael Deafled, Lynette Williams, Mary Hayward, and Robert Barber, saw portions of the events leading to the crash. We relate some of the facts from the various perspectives of the eyewitnesses.

During the evening of Wednesday, October 25, 2017, Elizabeth Turner-Murphy consumed two vodka and seltzer drinks. Her partner prepared the drinks. Turner-Murphy assumes each drink contained three shots of alcohol. At 10 p.m., an ill Turner-Murphy retired to bed in her Spokane Valley abode.

At 4 a.m., on Thursday, October 26, 2017, Elizabeth Turner-Murphy's alarm rang. Because she remained ill, she decided to avoid work for the day. She returned to sleep and awoke four hours later. Although the illness persisted, she proceeded to run an errand in her vehicle.

On the morning of October 26, Valarie Daly drove a 2017 Triumph motorcycle to work. Daly traveled south on Pines Road in Spokane Valley. The weather was sunny and the roads dry. Pines Road has two lanes running each direction. The speed limit is 35 miles an hour. Pines Road eventually intersects with Grace Avenue. At the

intersection, stop signs control the traffic moving on Grace Avenue. Thus, traffic on Pines Road enjoys the right of way.

On October 26, 2017, at 8:40 a.m., Maura Gopar Santos drove her son to school and then traveled south in her car on Pines Road toward her workplace. As Gopar left the elementary school and traveled on Pines Road, she noticed a motorcycle moving 20-25 miles an hour. Valarie Daly rode the motorcycle. The motorcycle traveled in the inside southbound lane and parallel to Gopar's vehicle. Gopar traveled below 35 miles an hour, because she had not reached the speed limit after traveling through a 25 mile per hour school zone.

According to Maura Gopar Santos, the motorcycle moved a short distance ahead of Gopar as the two approached the intersection of Grace Avenue and Pines Road. Suddenly, a Ford Expedition traveling on Grace Avenue crossed Pines Road. Gopar noticed the Ford SUV no more than two seconds before the Expedition collided with the motorcycle. The driver of the motorcycle collapsed to the ground. Gopar approached the motorcyclist to see if she could help the downed rider, but the rider was unconscious.

On October 26, between 8:45 and 8:50 a.m., Michael Deafled, a shuttle driver for the Black Pearl Casino, retrieved co-employee Lynette Williams from the Casino's employee parking lot on East Grace Avenue. As he left the parking lot and entered Grace Avenue, the green Expedition, driven by Elizabeth Turner-Murphy and traveling in the same direction, pulled around him. According to Deafled, as the Ford Expedition

approached the stop sign at the intersection with Pines Road, the SUV driver performed a California roll through the stop sign after looking to the left only. According to Deafled's passenger, Lynette Williams, the driver of the Expedition executed a California roll at the intersection with Pines Road and then jetted across Pines Road.

According to Michael Deafled, as the Ford Expedition crossed the four lanes of Pines Road, the vehicle struck a motorcycle. The motorcyclist lacked any time to react or hit the cycle's brakes. The driver of the SUV neither applied her brakes, nor accelerated to avoid a collision. After the collision, the driver of the Expedition stopped her vehicle, inspected the damage to her vehicle, and returned inside her SUV.

On October 26, at 8:40 a.m., Mary Hayward drove her children to school. Hayward then headed west on East Grace Avenue. According to Hayward, she traveled behind a Ford Expedition, which came to a complete stop at the intersection with Pines Road. Suddenly, the SUV driver accelerated and struck a motorcyclist. Neither the motorcyclist nor the driver of the SUV applied brakes.

On October 26, 2017, Robert Barber journeyed on Pines Road going south toward Interstate 90 in a vehicle driven by his girlfriend. Two cars traveled between Barber's car and a motorcycle traveling in the same lane. The biker drove prudently. Barber saw a green SUV come to a California stop at the stop sign on Grace Avenue and then proceed across the intersection. He believes the SUV driver looked both ways. According to Barber, the motorcyclist had no opportunity to apply brakes to avoid a collision.

We return to the events from the perspective of Elizabeth Turner-Murphy. On the morning of October 26, Turner-Murphy completed her errand and then drove west on East Grace Avenue in Spokane Valley. Turner-Murphy was familiar with the intersection of Pines Road and Grace Avenue. She regularly crossed the intersection and knew traffic to be heavy in the morning. According to Elizabeth Turner-Murphy, when she reached the stop sign at the intersection with Pines Road, she looked left and then right, and then looked both ways again. She entered the intersection only after concluding passage was safe. Suddenly Turner-Murphy heard a noise similar to a bomb exploding. She never saw a motorcycle that struck her vehicle. Turner-Murphy finished crossing Pines, parked her vehicle at the side of the road, and exited the car. Turner-Murphy saw someone helping a person on the ground and someone phoning 911. She returned to her Ford Expedition and waited.

At 8:56 a.m., on October 26, Washington State Patrol Trooper Barry Marcus responded to dispatch's request to assist at a motorcycle-vehicle collision. When Trooper Marcus arrived at the intersection of Pines Road and Grace Avenue, someone was administering CPR on Valarie Daly. Elizabeth Turner-Murphy's Ford Expedition faced westbound on Grace Avenue. Valarie Daly's motorcycle laid on Grace Avenue immediately outside the intersection.

Trooper Barry Marcus examined the two vehicles. The Ford Expedition sustained damage on the right side passenger door area. Trooper Marcus surmised that the motorcycle struck the right side of the SUV.

At the scene of the collision, Trooper Barry Marcus interviewed Elizabeth Turner-Murphy. Turner-Murphy told Trooper Marcus that she headed west on Grace Avenue across Pines Road. She never saw the motorcycle ridden by Valarie Daly.

Trooper Barry Marcus noticed that Elizabeth Turner-Murphy suffered no injuries that would prevent her from doing field sobriety tests. Turner-Murphy told Barry Marcus that she felt fine. Trooper Marcus, a drug recognition expert, asked Turner-Murphy to submit to field sobriety tests, and Turner-Murphy consented. As he conducted the tests, Marcus noted an odor of alcohol on Turner-Murphy's breath. Trooper Marcus conducted the horizontal gaze nystagmus test, and Turner-Murphy exhibited six out of six clues indicating intoxication. Marcus conducted the walk-and-turn test, and Turner-Murphy exhibited four out of eight clues suggesting impairment. Finally, Turner-Murphy completed the one-leg stand test, during which she presented three out of four factors indicating impairment.

After completing the field tests on Elizabeth Turner-Murphy, Trooper Barry

Marcus concluded that Turner-Murphy had consumed alcohol. Trooper Marcus further

concluded that Turner-Murphy could not safely operate a vehicle. He placed her under

arrest and obtained a warrant for a blood sample. Barry Marcus transported Turner-Murphy to Valley Hospital, where the blood draw occurred.

Washington State Trooper James Wickham, a collision technical specialist, arrived at the intersection of Pines Road and Grace Avenue at 9:15 a.m. Trooper Wickham determined that Valarie Daly's motorcycle hit the passenger side door of Elizabeth Turner-Murphy's Expedition. Daly flew over the motorcycle's handlebars, and her head and helmet struck the SUV's passenger window. Wickham found no brake marks at the scene. Trooper Wickham determined that Turner-Murphy entered the intersection and failed to yield to the right-of-way traffic traveling south on Pines. Neither vehicle suffered mechanical failure.

Washington State Trooper James Wickham testified at trial that a person's average reaction time is 1.5 seconds. If a vehicle pulls in front of a motorcyclist, the biker may lack any reaction time. Even if a motorcyclist sees a car accelerate, 1.5 seconds may be too late to react.

Washington State Patrol Detective Ryan Spangler, a collision reconstructionist, responded to the accident on Grace Avenue and Pines Road at 9:40 a.m., on October 26. Detective Spangler observed that the front of Valarie Daly's motorcycle sustained substantial damage due to contact with another object. At trial, Detective Spangler explained that, because of the weight difference between the motorcycle and SUV, the effect of the motorcycle striking the Expedition was equivalent to hitting a "brick wall."

Report of Proceedings (RP) (Mar. 25, 2019) at 617; *See* Exhibit P-16. The physical evidence confirmed that neither vehicle attempted to stop before the collision. Spangler could not estimate the speed of either vehicle. Detective Spangler concluded that, had Valarie Daly seen the SUV and perceived it as a hazard, she would have had time and distance to stop. The evidence showed that she never observed the SUV.

Valarie Daly died on October 26, 2017. John Howard, Spokane County forensic pathologist and medical examiner, performed an autopsy on Daly that day. Dr. Howard found dozens of blunt impact injuries and internal injuries consistent with trauma from a traffic collision. Howard opined that the motorcycle collision caused Daly's death.

Valley Hospital forwarded Elizabeth Turner-Murphy's blood sample to the Washington State Patrol Toxicology Laboratory. State Patrol forensic scientist David Nguyen tested the blood and concluded that Turner-Murphy's blood contained 0.15 grams of alcohol per one-hundred milliliters of blood. At trial, Nguyen opined that, according to scientific studies, a person with a blood alcohol level at 0.08 or higher cannot safely operate a motor vehicle.

PROCEDURE

The State of Washington charged Elizabeth Turner-Murphy with one count of vehicular homicide. The information alleged that Turner-Murphy committed the crime by two alternative means, operating a motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor or operating the vehicle in a reckless manner.

In closing, Elizabeth Turner-Murphy's defense counsel argued that Valarie Daly's actions constituted an intervening and superseding cause of her own death that precluded any action of Turner-Murphy from being a proximate cause of the death and thus shielded Turner-Murphy from criminal liability.

[Ms. Daly] was supposed to start work at 9:00. She worked on Argonne. She still has a ways to go. Ms. Daly started off next to Gopar, Ms. Gopar, tucked behind her. She increased her speed after getting out of the school zone. She increased her speed to 35 miles an hour, and Ms. Gopar's statement that she prepared, said she passed her; that she passed her. With nobody in front of her, this would have been what she saw. All four lanes of traffic.

Trooper Wickham testified he walked the scene and there were no obstructions. Detective Spangler testified that accounting for reaction time there was still 2.5 seconds left. That included reaction time. Everyone else sees the SUV and brakes.

. . . If Ms. Daly had not been speeding, would Ms. Turner-Murphy have been able to get all the way across? But still the question is why, again, why no brake marks?

Troop[er] Wickham said there was no mechanical failure with the motorcycle. And this accident happened at 8:56 a.m. *Ms. Daly's actions were a superseding intervening act that broke the chain of events that began when Ms. Turner-Murphy left the stop sign. Ms. Daly's actions of speeding up after the school zone and passing the Jeep in the 35 miles per hour zone happened after Ms. Turner-Murphy took off. She had no control over Ms. Daly's actions.*

Trooper Wickham said you have a duty to yield to the legally traveling traffic. If someone is speeding are they legally traveling in traffic?

RP (Mar. 26, 2019) at 42-43 (emphasis added).

The jury convicted Elizabeth Turner-Murphy of vehicular homicide solely on the driving while under the influence of intoxicants prong of the crime.

LAW AND ANALYSIS

On appeal, Elizabeth Turner-Murphy repeats her trial theme that Valarie Daly's failure to react and stop her motorcycle to avoid the collision constituted a superseding cause that negated any conduct of Turner-Murphy as the proximate cause of Daly's demise. Based on this refrain, Turner-Murphy contends the State presented insufficient evidence to convict her of vehicular homicide.

Evidence is sufficient to support a guilty verdict if any rational trier of fact, viewing the evidence in the light most favorable to the State, could find the elements of the charged crime beyond a reasonable doubt. *State v. Cardenas-Flores*, 189 Wn.2d 243, 265, 401 P.3d 19 (2017). A claim of insufficiency admits the truth of the State's evidence and all reasonable inferences that can be drawn from it. *State v. Drum*, 168 Wn.2d 23, 35, 225 P.3d 237 (2010). Circumstantial and direct evidence are equally reliable. *State v. Cardenas-Flores*, 189 Wn.2d at 266. This court does not reassess issues of credibility, persuasiveness, or conflicting testimony. *State v. Davis*, 182 Wn.2d 222, 227, 340 P.3d 820 (2014).

According to Elizabeth Turner-Murphy, Valarie Daly enjoyed a clear and unobstructed view of the intersection of Pines Road and Grace Avenue. Turner-Murphy emphasizes that the State's own witness, Detective Ryan Spangler, testified that Daly had sufficient time to react and stop. When Turner-Murphy crossed Pines Road, she could not have reasonably anticipated Daly's failure to stop her motorcycle to avoid the

collision. In so arguing, Turner-Murphy takes the evidence in a light favorable to her, not to the State. Numerous witnesses testified that Daly lacked any time to stop. Regardless, one, who breaches a stop sign, should reasonably expect that perpendicular traffic may not stop.

RCW 46.61.520(1) governs the crime of vehicular homicide:

When the death of any person ensues within three years as a *proximate result* of injury *proximately caused* by the driving of any vehicle by any person, the driver is guilty of vehicular homicide if the driver was operating a motor vehicle:

- (a) While under the influence of intoxicating liquor or any drug, as defined by RCW 46.61.502; or
 - (b) In a reckless manner, or
 - (c) With disregard for the safety of others.

(Emphasis added.) The jury convicted Elizabeth Turner-Murphy under subsection (a) of the statute.

RCW 46.61.520(1) requires proof of causation behind two events. First, the driving of the motor vehicle by the accused must proximately cause injury to the victim. Second, the injuries sustained in the accident must proximately result in the victim's death. We consider the term "proximate result" to encompass the same concept as "proximate cause." The parties conflate the two elements of causation, and so do we. The State need not establish that the intoxicated driving caused the injuries and death, only that the collision occurred while Turner-Murphy drove under the influence. *State v. Rivas*, 126 Wn.2d 443, 448, 450-51, 896 P.2d 57 (1995).

Neither RCW 46.61.520(1) nor any other criminal statute defines "proximate cause." Nor does the statute mention the concept of superseding cause, on which Elizabeth Turner-Murphy relies. We review case law for delineation of the concepts of proximate cause and superseding cause.

Criminal law borrows the notion of proximate cause from tort law. *See e.g.*, *State v. Frahm*, 193 Wn.2d 590, 596-97, 444 P.3d 595 (2019). The law defines "proximate cause" as "'a cause which in direct sequence, unbroken by any new, independent cause, produces the event complained of and without which the injury would not have happened." *State v. McAllister*, 60 Wn. App. 654, 660, 806 P.2d 772 (1991) (quoting *State v. Gantt*, 38 Wn. App. 357, 359, 684 P.2d 1385 (1984), *abrogated on other grounds by State v. Roggenkamp*, 153 Wn.2d 614, 106 P.3d 196 (2005). A defendant's conduct constitutes a proximate cause of harm if the conduct serves as a substantial factor in bringing about the harm and no other rule relieves her from liability. *State v. Meekins*, 125 Wn. App. 390, 396-97, 105 P.3d 420 (2005). Elizabeth Turner-Murphy's theory of superseding cause constitutes a potential independent cause and a possible rule relieving her of liability under the definitions of proximate cause.

One can avoid responsibility for a death that results from driving provided a superseding, intervening event causes the death. *State v. Rivas*, 126 Wn.2d 443, 453 (1995). The criminal law also appropriates its definition of superseding cause from tort law. *State v. Frahm*, 193 Wn.2d 590, 600 (2019); *Campbell v. ITE Imperial Corp.*, 107

Wn.2d 807, 811-14, 733 P.2d 969 (1987). An intervening cause is a force that actively operates to produce harm to another after the actor's act or omission has been committed. *State v. Frahm*, 193 Wn.2d at 600; *Klein v. Pyrodyne Corp.*, 117 Wn.2d 1, 17 n.7, 810 P.2d 917, 817 P.2d 1359 (1991). An intervening cause that suffices to relieve the wrongdoer of liability is a superseding cause. *State v. Frahm*, 193 Wn.2d at 600.

Whether an act comprises a superseding cause depends in part on whether the accused can reasonably foresee the intervening act. *State v. Frahm*, 193 Wn.2d at 600. The law only considers intervening acts that are not reasonably foreseeable as superseding causes. *State v. Frahm*, 193 Wn.2d at 600; *Crowe v. Gaston*, 134 Wn.2d 509, 519, 951 P.2d 1118 (1998). An intervening act will not constitute a superseding cause if the original actor should have realized that a third person might so act. *State v. Frahm*, 193 Wn.2d at 600; *Campbell v. ITE Imperial Corp.*, 107 Wn.2d 807, 811-14 (1987). Factors to consider in determining whether an intervening act is foreseeable include whether (1) the intervening act created a different type of harm; (2) the intervening act constituted an extraordinary act; and (3) the intervening act operated independently. *State v. Roggenkamp*, 115 Wn. App. 927, 945-46, 64 P.3d 92 (2003), *aff'd*, 153 Wn.2d 614, 106 P.3d 196 (2005).

For the conduct of one other than the accused to form a superseding cause, the intervening conduct must also actively produce the harm after the accused commits his act. *State v. Meekins*, 125 Wn. App. at 398; *State v. Souther*, 100 Wn. App. 701, 710,

998 P.2d 350 (2000). Stated differently, if the other conduct occurs concurrently to the defendant's actions, the other conduct cannot be a superseding cause. *State v. Frahm*, 193 Wn.2d 590, 601 (2019); *State v. Roggenkamp*, 153 Wn.2d at 631; *State v. Meekins*, 125 Wn. App. at 398-99.

Both of the two limitations on superseding causes apply here. The conduct of Valarie Daly in failing to notice Elizabeth Turner-Murphy's Ford Expedition was foreseeable because of the heavy traffic and the fact that Daly, not Turner-Murphy, enjoyed the right of way. Daly's conduct was not extraordinary. Daly's conduct produced the same harm as the driving of Turner-Murphy. The conduct of Turner-Murphy elapsed concurrently with the conduct of Daly to produce the collision of the two vehicles and the death of Daly.

Two Washington decisions confirm our conclusion that any conduct of Valarie Daly did not relieve Elizabeth Turner-Murphy of criminal responsibility. In *State v*. *Souther*, 100 Wn. App. 701 (2000), Roger William Souther drove a pickup truck at a time that his blood alcohol level was 0.29. He approached an intersection controlled by a traffic light, but the light was green. As Souther turned left, he struck an oncoming motorcyclist, and the collision killed the cyclist. In defense of the charge of vehicular homicide, Souther contended the conduct of the motorcyclist formed a superseding cause because the cyclist sped and displayed a left-turn signal. Because the alleged wrongful

conduct of the victim occurred before the collision, this court deemed the deceased's actions to be concurring, not superseding, causes.

In *State v. Roggenkamp*, 115 Wn. App. 927 (2003), Michael Roggenkamp drove down a rural two lane residential road with a posted speed limit of 35 miles per hour. While traveling at 70 miles an hour, Roggenkamp entered the oncoming traffic lane to pass the vehicle in front of him. Roggenkamp then noticed a car driven by Vonrahe Chilcoate turn from an intersection into the lane in which he traveled. He immediately attempted to stop which caused his brakes to lock and sent his vehicle into a skid. JoAnn Carpenter earlier stopped at the stop sign of the intersection and then made the same turn as Chilcoate. Roggenkamp's vehicle struck Carpenter's car, and the collision killed Carpenter's son instantly. At the time of the impact, Carpenter's blood alcohol concentration level was 0.13.

On appeal, Michael Roggencamp argued that JoAnn Carpenter's intoxication and her turning into his path after his car began to skid were supervening causes of the boy's death. This court observed that the intervening act must have occurred after the defendant's act or omission. Roggenkamp's reckless driving was ongoing at the time of Carpenter's act of pulling into the intersection. Roggenkamp also should have foreseen the possibility that a vehicle would enter the roadway from the intersection.

We note that the victim of a crime generally takes some action that leads to his or her vulnerability to the crime. For example, a quarry of a street robbery may saunter on State v. Turner-Murphy

the sidewalk and, when confronted, fail to flee the scene before being robbed. The view of superseding cause advanced by Elizabeth Turner-Murphy might negate many, if not most, crimes.

CONCLUSION

We affirm Elizabeth Turner-Murphy's conviction for vehicular homicide.

A majority of the panel has determined this opinion will not be printed in the Washington Appellate Reports, but it will be filed for public record pursuant to RCW 2.06.040.

Fearing, J.

WE CONCUR:

Siddoway, J.

Siddoway, J.

January 05, 2021 - 11:40 AM

Filing Petition for Review

Transmittal Information

Filed with Court: Supreme Court **Appellate Court Case Number:** Case Initiation

Appellate Court Case Title: State of Washington v. Elizabeth Louise Turner-Murphy (368173)

The following documents have been uploaded:

PRV_Petition_for_Review_20210105113617SC262274_9308.pdf

This File Contains: Petition for Review

The Original File Name was eturner-murphy prv 368173.pdf

A copy of the uploaded files will be sent to:

lsteinmetz@spokanecounty.org

• scpaappeals@spokanecounty.org

Comments:

Sender Name: Kenneth Kato - Email: khkato@comcast.net

Address:

1020 N WASHINGTON ST SPOKANE, WA, 99201-2237

Phone: 509-220-2237

Note: The Filing Id is 20210105113617SC262274